Interim Report

Optimal investment strategy for nonlinear life insurance liabilities

Project manager: Vlad Burian

Team members: Touko Haapanen, Leevi Kaukonen, Joel Vääräniemi, Matvei Zhukov

Contents

1	Cha	Changes in project scope and objectives				
2	Proj	ect status	2			
	2.1	Completed tasks	2			
	2.2	Current tasks	2			
	2.3	Remaining tasks	2			
3	3 Updated project schedule					
4	4 Updated risk management plan					

1 Changes in project scope and objectives

The project scope and objectives have remained unchanged since the beginning of the project and the creation of the project plan. Additional tasks, such as building a pipeline for creating yield curve simulations, have not been added into the project scope.

Fennia's contact person Timo Salminen has been active in the project since the beginning, giving guidance on pain points and helping us understand how to approach the problem.

Currently, we have implemented the model architecture and the designed a modular model that can easily have assets or liabilities added from it or to it. The next steps are to implement some nonlinear assets to the model (for example caps, floors, swaptions) and see how the hedging results changes. After this, the analysis can be conducted.

2 Project status

This section will cover the current status of the project: Already completed tasks, current tasks, and remaining tasks. Overall, the project is well on schedule based on our preliminary timetable seen in Figure 1.

2.1 Completed tasks

The model has been implemented in Python using the scipy-package for the optimization, and pandas and numpy for general matrix operations. The overall architecture of the Python model has been implemented. The model currently has the ability to take in data provided by Fennia, add a certain amount of liability contracts, buy assets based on the NPV of the liabilities (or the cash flows of the liabilities) and then plot the resulting cash flows across simulations.

2.2 Current tasks

Currently, there are a few tasks: we want to add more assets to the model, especially nonlinear ones for better hedging results. We also want to enhance the analytical results of the model. To do this, we want to return and plot the results of singular simulated price paths.

2.3 Remaining tasks

We want to analyze the results of the simulations with different asset combinations to see how using different assets affect the hedging results and the worst- and best case scenarios. The final report needs to be done as well. Work on it has already begun in tandem with the remaining tasks, and will be priority number one as soon as the analysis is done and the model is validated.

3 Updated project schedule

The project schedule has been kept as is as currently all tasks are on schedule. In case of unforeseen circumstances the Python implementation can be extended by at least one week.

	Month	March				April				May			
Activity	Week	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Project Initiation													
Initial Client Interaction													
Planning													
Literature reviews & diagrams													
Model Implementation													
Rudimentary Excel model													
Python Implementation													
Validation of the model and verifying results													
Writing the final report													

Figure 1: Updated schedule

4 Updated risk management plan

The risk management plan has been kept almost unchanged. We believe all of the previous risks still exist, even though none of them have realized thus far. However, the risk of the goals being too ambitious has been lowered to "Low", since the current goals are on schedule and no more goals have been introduced to the project.

Risk	Probability	Effect	Impact	Prevention
Team member	Low	Loss of motivation	High	Weekly meetings
inactivity, group		& cohesion, unsatis-		and touchpoints,
dynamic prob-		factory results		goodwill
lems				
Result does not	Low	Unsatisfied client	High	Active communica-
satisfy client				tion with client
Members lack	Low	Missed deadlines	Medium	Weekly meetings
time to work on				and continuously
project				set running goals
Not understand-	Medium	Method's and mod-	High	Continuous check-
ing complex fi-		els may be imple-		ups and validation
nancial concepts		mented incorrectly		with client, rigorous
				self-screening
Too ambitious	Low	Time wasted on too	Medium	Active commu-
goals		complicated mod-		nication and
		els or overly de-		expectation man-
		tailed analysis		agement with
				client, developing
				a minimum viable
				product first

Table 1: Risks related to the project, along with an assessment of the impacts and possible measures to mitigate the risks.