

# Enhancing Optimization Under Uncertainty with Neural Networks

and biography

Oliver Lundqvist 30/09/2024

# **Biography Oliver Lundqvist**



# **Biography Working career**

- Andritz Oy 2012-2018:
  - Structural engineer doing FEM-analysis for thin-plated structures for recovery and power boilers
  - Internships in Vienna, Graz and Stockholm during studies
  - Full time employment 2015-2018
- Sweco Finland Oy 2018-2024:
  - 2018-2020 FEM-analyst for demanding analysis (explosions, earthquakes, impacts etc.)
  - 2020-2022 Project manager. Leading different FEM-analysis projects for different customers
  - 2022-2024 Department manager. Leading a team of 6-8 people from various nationalities



LS-DYNA keyword deck by LS-PrePost Time = 0.5





- **AusculThing Oy**, Medical device company with the aim of introducing AI-powered screening tools for heart sounds.
- Currently FDA-cleared product and starting sales in the U.S.
  - Accuracy 93% Sensitivity 91% Specificity 96 %
- Recently (21.9.2024) published article: *Automated analysis of heart sound signals in screening for structural heart disease in children* <u>https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00431-024-05773-3</u>
- Currently looking for the first seeding round...
   → if you know any rich and easy-going investors let me know...



# **Enhancing Optimization Under Uncertainty with Neural Networks**

# Optimization under uncertainty with neural networks Pre-example

Consider damped harmonic oscillator with the following dynamics

$$x''(t) + 2\xi \omega x'(t) + \omega^2 x(t) = 0$$
  

$$x(0) = u$$
  

$$x'(0) = 0$$
  

$$\omega = 1$$



- We want the oscillator to have an amplitude of x = -0.4 at time T = 5s
- Critical damping  $\xi$ ,  $\xi \sim f(\xi) = \mathcal{N}(\xi \mid \mu = 0.1, \sigma = 0.02)$
- **Target:** From what initial condition u should we release the system so at t = 5 we "most likely" end up at x = -0.4

 $\rightarrow$  Minimize on the expectation and observe the squared missed distance

### **Optimization under uncertainty with neural networks Pre-example**

- Define map S(x) = x(T)
- Our observable, the square missed distance  $g(S(x)) = (x(T) x^*)^2$  and target  $x^* = -0.40$
- Target is to decide on u such that it minimizes the expected squared miss on the target

$$\min_{u} \mathbb{E}[(x(T) - x^*)^2 | x(T) \sim P_S f(\boldsymbol{\xi})]$$

where  $P_{S}f(\xi)$  is the density pushed forward through the system (more later...).

• What approaches do we have?

#### **Optimization under uncertainty with neural networks Pre-example**



#### Optimization under uncertainty with neural networks Pre-example



• General case:



**Target:** minimize the expectation of an observable g(x) depending on some dynamical system  $x(t, u | \alpha)$  for some parametric uncertainty  $\alpha \sim f(\alpha)$  with respect to control u.

- **Question:** How does the <u>uncertainty distribution evolve</u> through the dynamical system? I.e., given an initial distribution what is the output distribution?
- Simple example: how does the distribution develop from t = 0 to t = 5 when x(0) = 1, i.e. what is the distribution of x(5)?

$$S(x) \coloneqq -\alpha \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = x(t)$$
$$x(0) = 1$$
$$\alpha \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

## • Approaches:

- 1. Direct sampling and solving (Monte Carlo methods)
  - + simple implementation
  - Computational cost
  - no direct way to compute gradients(?)  $\rightarrow$  how to optimize?

#### 2. Frobenius-Perron (FP) Operator

→ Analytical framework to study development of distributions of mappings (dynamical systems)

#### 3. Koopman Operator

 $\rightarrow$  Adjoint to Frobenius-Perron operator

• Frobenius-Perron (FP) Operator : Operator *P<sub>S</sub>* pushes the uncertainty (distribution) through the system to the output and is defined as:

$$\int_A P_S f(x)\mu(dx) = \int_{S^{-1}(A)} f(x)\mu(dx)$$

- f(x) is the probability distribution and  $x \sim f(x)$
- *S*(*x*) describes the system dynamics (diff. equation for example)
- If S(x) is differentiable and invertible then:

$$P_S f(x) = f\left(S^{-1}(x)\right) \left| \frac{dS^{-1}(x)}{dx} \right|$$

(= "Change-of-variable" in probability theory)



 The FP Operator on the observable can be interpreted as an expectation for some function (observable) g(x) as:

$$\mathbb{E}[g(x)|x \sim P_S f(x)] = \int_{S(\Omega)} P_S f(x)g(x)dx = \langle P_S f(x), g(x) \rangle$$

- One would like to optimize some decision *u* on the expectation of the dynamical system's outcome uncertainty in the:
  - Input or initial values of the system
  - **Parameters** of the dynamical system

Thus maximize/minimize on the expectation:  $\min_{u} \mathbb{E}[g(S(x))|x \sim P_S f(x)]$ 

- Problems:
  - FP operator difficult to determine and S(x) needs to be invertible and differentiable
- Numerical stability, original density domain might grow exponential when pushed through the system (explode)

• Koopman Operator : Defined as:

$$K_S g(x) = g\bigl(S(x)\bigr)$$

where g(x) is some observable

- FP operator transports densities through maps of dynamical systems, Koopman operator provides a mechanism to *pull-back* functions.
- The Koopman Operator is adjoint to the FP operator, thus:

 $< P_S f(x), g(x) > = < f(x), K_S g(x) >$ 

 $\mathbb{E}[g(x)|x \sim P_S f(x)] = \mathbb{E}[K_S g(x)|x \sim f(x)]$ 

• Provides a way to "Pull-back" the system to the original domain of the density and do the integration there



- Benefits:
  - Numerically stable
  - Integration over known domain
- Fast to integrate (quadrature or other method)

• Optimization problem is transferred to:

$$\min_{u} \mathbb{E}[g(S(x))|x \sim P_{S}f(x)] = \min_{u} \mathbb{E}[K_{S}g(x)|x \sim f(x)]$$

• The Koopman operator  $K_S$  does not need to explicitly known but it's action is needed to be known, i.e.,

$$K_S g(x) = g\bigl(S(x)\bigr)$$

• So we have

$$\min_{u} \mathbb{E}[g(S(x))|x \sim f(x)]$$

 So solving this can be done with by coupling different solvers (ODE or PDE) and explicitly calculating the dynamics and doing the numerical integration

• **NOW THE "RESEARCH":** Possible to replace ODE or PDE solver with a Physics Informed Neural Network (PINN)



in: [t, ξ, u]

- Faster forward/computation times
- Possible to easily calculate gradient on control variables
- PINNs run efficiently in "batch mode" → one forward pass for multiple points
   → efficient integration of expectations or higher order statistics

#### Optimization under Uncertainty with neural networks Example

• Optimum at  $u^* = 1.138277$ 



**A!** 

### Optimization under Uncertainty with neural networks Example

- Further question: How certain are we that our policy is the best and with what uncertainty? If we use our optimum how certain are we end up close to the target?
- $\rightarrow$  Use PINN to do MC-sampling and estimate some uncertainties

### **Optimization under Uncertainty with neural networks** *Example*

Sampling  $\xi$  with N=20 000 samples from N(0.1,0.02) and do forward passes (solve ODE) on the PINN and plot the distribution



# Optimization under uncertainty with neural networks Conclusion

# **Conclusion:**

- 1. **PINNs** can replace ODEs/PDEs for optimization tasks
  - $\rightarrow$  Efficient batch mode evaluation
  - $\rightarrow$  Gradients can be easily calculated from the PINN
- 2. Koopman Expectation seems to work
- Uncertainty quantification can efficiently be done with PINNs if distributions are known by direct sampling
   Diak quantification
  - $\rightarrow$  Risk quantification

**Further question:** Now the uncertainty quantification is done a-posteriori can we done it a-priori or during the optimization process?

