

Optimisation for biodiesel production and analysis of capillarity expansion of suppliers

Lukas Olenborg 15.06.2022

Thesis advisor: Prof. Fabricio Oliveira

Työn saa tallentaa ja julkistaa Aalto-yliopiston avoimilla verkkosivuilla. Muilta osin kaikki oikeudet pidätetään.



Biodiesel

- Renewable, environmentally friendly
- Effect on food price
- Raw materials for biodiesel production
 - Animal fats (AF)
 - Waste cooking oils (WCO)
 - PFAD





The problem

- Supply chain is complex
- The raw materials (biomass) come from many small suppliers
- Simple linear models are not accurate
 - Overbuying, inefficiency
- Optimisation of the supply chain needed for an optimal purchase plan





Background

• Previous bachelor thesis with simple model







Supply chain model







Optimisation problem

- Minimise costs while satisfying the total demand
- Nonlinear and non-convex
 - Bilinear terms in equality constraints (3), (6)
- Computationally challenging
 - Nonlinear vs NMDT-linearised model





Mathematical formulation

minimize
$$\sum_{s \in S_b} \sum_{b \in B} (CB_b + TC_{s,b} + PC_b) x_{s,b} + HC \times q \tag{1}$$

subject to:
$$\underline{X}_{s,b} \le x_{s,b} \le \overline{X}_{s,b}, \qquad \forall s \in S_b, b \in B$$
 (2)

$$\longrightarrow \sum_{s \in S_b} \rho_{s,b} x_{s,b} = \sum_{s \in S_b} v_b x_{s,b}, \qquad \forall b \in B, \qquad (3)$$

$$\underline{V_b} \le v_b \le \overline{V_b}, \qquad \qquad \forall b \in B, \tag{4}$$

$$y_b = \sum_{s \in S_b} \alpha_b x_{s,b}, \qquad \forall b \in B, \tag{5}$$

$$\longrightarrow \sum_{b \in B} v_b y_b = \rho q, \tag{6}$$

$$\underline{\rho} \le \rho \le \overline{\rho},\tag{7}$$

$$\sum_{b \in B} y_b = q,\tag{8}$$

$$\alpha q = z, \tag{9}$$

$$z \ge D_{tot}.\tag{10}$$





Purpose of the thesis

- Determine the best model and solver for precision and efficiency
 - Nonlinear model with Gurobi's nonlinear solver
 - NMDT-linearised model with Gurobi's linear solver
- Examine the effects of capillarity expansion of WCO suppliers
- Analysis of results and comparison to previous models





Case study

- Synthetic supply data generated with means and variances based on historical data
 - Transport distances, minimum and maximum production capacities, property values of biomasses
- Transform nonlinear model into NMDT-linearised model and perform benchmarks
- Expand WCO suppliers into many small suppliers and update data (capillarity)





Model efficiency benchmarks







Model efficiency benchmarks







Final model

- 1000 suppliers
- 100 instances
- WCO expansion factors 2, 10
- Sweden/Germany: PFAD not allowed





Biomass purchase plan with 1000 suppliers and capillarity expansion factor 2







Biomass purchase plan with 1000 suppliers and capillarity expansion factor 10







Results

• 1000 suppliers with capillarity expansion factor 2

	AF	WCO	Total biomass	Quality of blend	Production cost	Transportation cost	Total cost
All instances (100)	1 097,2	640,3	1 737,5	0,86	598 950,6	8 215 500,0	10 174 100,0
CO instances (29)	50,4	1 632,0	1 682,4	0,85	428 151,4	12 375 862,1	14 110 344,8
AF instances (71)	1 524,8	235,2	1 760,0	0,87	668 713,6	6 516 197,2	8 566 338,0

• 1000 suppliers with capillarity expansion factor 10

	AF	WCO	Total biomass	Quality of blend	Production cost	Transportation cost	Total cost
All instances (50)	979,9	751,4	1 731,3	0,87	579 807,6	8 594 462,9	10 526 809,5
CO instances (19)	41,7	1 640,2	1 681,9	0,85	426 739,0	12 218 735,8	13 949 426,9
AF instances (31)	1 554,9	206,7	1 761,6	0,88	673 623,9	6 373 134,3	8 429 076,3





Conclusions

- Gurobi's nonlinear solver most efficient
- The model is closer to real life supply chains
 Initial blending included (nonlinear, non-convex)
- Capillarity expansion of WCO suppliers is not a problem
- PFAD is not necessary
- High variability in optimal solutions





Conclusions

- Suppliers have uncertainty in production capacity
 Seasonality, restaurant customers, etc.
- Deterministic model does not take into account the uncertainty in supply
- Implement robust model



